

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,127	01/20/2004	Joachim Schnabel	INFN/0051	8829	
46798	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP			NGUYEN, DANG T		
Gero McClellan / Infineon Technologies 3040 POST OAK BLVD.,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1500			2824		
HOUSTON, TX 77056			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		10/761,		SCHNABEL ET AL.			
Ome	Action Cummary	Examin		Art Unit			
The MAII	(NC DATE of this commu		Nguyen	2824 correspondence address	_		
Period for Reply	ING DATE OF UNIS COMMU	ncation appears on t	ne cover sheet with the t	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	LONGER, FROM THE N ay be available under the provision S from the mailing date of this com	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) This action		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in a	ccordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte</i> C	<i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4a) Of the 5 5) □ Claim(s) □ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 7) ☑ Claim(s) 4 8) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers 9) □ The specifi 10) ☑ The drawin	cation is objected to by tl g(s) filed on <u>20 January</u>	are withdrawn from orejected. ction and/or election e Examiner. 2004 is/are: a)⊠ ac	requirement. ccepted or b)⊡ objected				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	son's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: Search history	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/761,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 11/11/05.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 18 and 19 have been amended. Claim 2 has been canceled. Claim 21 has been added. Claims 1 and 3 - 21 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 18 and 21 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, and 3 - 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiscus, Patent No. US 6,714,473 B1 – filed: Nov. 30, 2001 in view of Jeong, Patent No. 5,680,359 – filed: Oct. 21, 1997.

Regarding independent claim 1, Fig. 1 of Fiscus discloses a method for refreshing dynamic memory cells arranged along word lines and bit lines, comprising:

generating a refresh signal [104] to activate a word line to refresh a charge stored in memory cells [106] arranged on the word line (Col. 2, lines 30-51);

monitoring an amount of charge loss of one or more sets of dynamic reference cells [110 and 112] (Col. 5, lines 32-39); and

adjusting a frequency of the refresh signal based on the monitored amount of charge loss (Col. 6 lines 21-41). However, Fiscus fails to disclose wherein the

Art Unit: 2824

frequency of the refresh signal is adjusted by dividing a fundamental frequency by a frequency divider value.

Fig. 6 of Jeong discloses a self-refresh period adjustment circuit comprises frequency dividers (Col. 3 lines 25-30 and Abstract, lines 16-22).

It would have been obvious to incorporate a frequency divider as taught by Jeong into refreshing dynamic memory cells of Fiscus to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because Jeong suggests the desirability of providing a frequency divider for detecting temperature variations thereby securing the safety f data stored in the memory cells and reducing the amount of power consumption at relatively low or normal temperatures (Col. 3 lines 1-4).

Regarding dependent claim 3, Fiscus as applied to claim 1 above disclosed every aspect of applicant's claimed invention except for wherein adjusting the frequency of the refresh signal comprises adjusting the frequency divider value.

Fig. 6 of Jeong discloses a self-refresh period adjustment circuit comprises frequency dividers (Col. 3 lines 25-30 and Abstract, lines 16-22).

It would have been obvious to incorporate a frequency divider as taught by Jeong into refreshing dynamic memory cells of Fiscus to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because Jeong suggests the desirability of providing a frequency divider for detecting temperature variations thereby securing the safety f data stored in the memory cells and

Art Unit: 2824

reducing the amount of power consumption at relatively low or normal temperatures (Col. 3 lines 1-4).

Regarding dependent claim 6, Fig. 4 of Fiscus discloses wherein monitoring the amount of charge loss of the one or more sets of reference cells comprises:

precharging a first set [110] of the reference cells on a first reference word line [WLL] to a first potential value [VLO] (Col. 4, lines 37-51);

Isolating the first set of reference cells from a first common bit line (see Fig. 4); a known time later, connecting the first set [110] of reference cells [110 and 112] to the first common bit line [V0]; and

comparing a potential on the first common bit line [V0] with a first reference potential [VLO] (Col. 5 lines 39-41).

Regarding dependent claim 7, Fig. 4 of Fiscus discloses further comprising precharging the first common bit line to a center potential (Coi. 4, lines 36-40).

Regarding dependent claim 8, Fig. 4 of Fiscus discloses wherein the first reference potential [VLO] is a ground reference.

Regarding dependent claim 9, Fig. 4 of Fiscus discloses precharging a second set [112] of the reference cells on a second reference word line [WLH] to a second potential value [VHI];

isolating the second set of reference cells from a second common bit line (Fig. 4); a known time later, connecting the second set [112] of reference cells [110 and 112] to the second common bit line [V1]; and

Art Unit: 2824

comparing a potential on the second common bit line [V1] with a second reference potential [VHI] (Col. 5 lines 44-46).

Regarding dependent claim 10, Fiscus discloses wherein adjusting the frequency of the refresh signal based on the monitored amount of charge loss comprises:

increasing the frequency of the refresh signal if the potential on the first common bit line exceeds the first reference potential or the second reference potential exceeds the potential on the second common bit line (Col. 1 lines 33-38); and

decreasing the frequency of the refresh signal if the first reference potential exceeds the potential on the first common bit line and the potential on the second common bit line exceeds the second reference potential (Col. 1 lines 21-29).

Regarding independent claim 11, Figs. 1, 2 and 4 of Fiscus disclose a circuit for adjusting a frequency of a refresh signal used to refresh dynamic memory cells, comprising:

a first set [110] of reference cells connectable to a first common bit line [BLL] by activation of a first common word line [WLL];

a second set [112] of reference cells connectable to a second common bit line [BLH] by activation of a second common word line [WLH]; and

a regulating unit [104] configured to monitor an amount of charge loss of the first [110] and second [112] reference cells (Col. 5, lines 32-39) and adjust the frequency of the refresh signal used to refresh the dynamic memory cells based on the monitored amount of charge loss (Col. 6 lines 21-41). However, Fiscus fails to disclose wherein

Art Unit: 2824

the frequency of the refresh signal is adjusted by dividing a fundamental frequency by a frequency divider value.

Fig. 6 of Jeong discloses a self-refresh period adjustment circuit comprises frequency dividers (Col. 3 lines 25-30 and Abstract, lines 16-22).

It would have been obvious to incorporate a frequency divider as taught by Jeong into refreshing dynamic memory cells of Fiscus to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because Jeong suggests the desirability of providing a frequency divider for detecting temperature variations thereby securing the safety f data stored in the memory cells and reducing the amount of power consumption at relatively low or normal temperatures (Col. 3 lines 1-4).

Regarding dependent claim 12, Figs. 1 and 2 of Fiscus discloses wherein the regulating unit [104] is configured to generate one or more control signals [CSL, SET, EGLF, WL] to activate the first and second sets of reference cells [110 and 112] in order to monitor the amount of charge loss of the first and second reference cells [110 and 112] (Col. 5 lines 32-50).

Regarding dependent claim 13, Figs. 1 and 2 of Fiscus disclose wherein the regulating unit [104] is configured to: increase the frequency of the refresh signal if the loss of charge of either the first and second sets of reference cells exceeds a threshold amount; and decrease the frequency of the refresh signal if the loss of charge of both the first and second sets of reference cells does not exceed the threshold amount (Col. 1 lines 21-38).

Art Unit: 2824

Regarding dependent claim 14, Fig. 4 of Fiscus discloses wherein the regulating circuit is configured to:

precharge (Col. 4 lines 37-38) the first and second sets of reference cells [110 and 112] to respective first and second potential values [VLO and VHI],

isolating the first [110] and sets of reference cells from the respective first [V0] and second [V1] common bit lines;

a known time later, connect the first and second sets of reference cells [110 and 112] to the respective first and second common bit lines [V0 and V1];

determine the charge loss of the first set [110] of reference cells by comparing [130] a potential on the first common bit line [V0] with a first reference potential [VLO]; and

determine the charge loss of the second set [112] of reference cells by comparing [132] a potential on the second common bit line V1] with a second reference potential [VHI].

Regarding dependent claim 15, Figs. 1 and 4 of Fiscus disclose wherein: the first potential value is ground [VLO];

the second potential value is above ground [VHI];

the regulating unit [104] is configured to increase the frequency of the refresh signal if the potential on the first common bit line exceeds the first reference potential, the second reference potential exceeds the potential on the second common bit line (Col. 1 lines 33-38), or both; and

Art Unit: 2824

the regulating unit [104] is configured to decrease the frequency of the refresh signal if the first reference potential exceeds the potential on the first common bit line and the potential on the second common bit line exceeds the second reference potential (Col. 1 lines 21-29).

Regarding dependent claim 16, Figs. 1 and 4 of Fiscus disclose wherein the regulating circuit [104] is configured to precharge (Col. 4 lines 37-38) the first and second common bit lines [BBL and BLH] to a center potential prior to connecting the first and second sets [110 and 112] of reference cells to the respective first and second common bit lines [V0 and V1].

Regarding dependent claim 17, Fiscus discloses wherein the regulating unit initiates the monitoring of charge loss of the first and second sets of reference cells (Col. 5 lines 32-39) and the adjusting of the frequency of the refresh signal based on one or more word address signals received from a refresh circuit (Col. 6 lines 21-41).

Regarding independent claim 18, Figs. 1, 2 and 4 of Fiscus disclose a memory device, comprising:

a plurality of dynamic memory cells arranged along word lines and bit lines of the device (Fig. 1 [106]);

at least a first set [110] of reference cells arranged around a first common word line (Fig. 1, [110] connect to 106]) and a first common bit line [V0];

a refresh circuit [104] for generating a refresh signal [CSL or SET...] to refresh the dynamic memory cells [106], and

Art Unit: 2824

a refresh frequency adjust circuit to adjust a frequency of the refresh signal based on a monitored amount of charge loss of the first set of reference cells (Col. 1 lines 30-47). However, Fiscus fails to disclose wherein the frequency of the refresh signal is adjusted by dividing a fundamental frequency by a frequency divider value.

Fig. 6 of Jeong discloses a self-refresh period adjustment circuit comprises frequency dividers (Col. 3 lines 25-30 and Abstract, lines 16-22).

It would have been obvious to incorporate a frequency divider as taught by Jeong into refreshing dynamic memory cells of Fiscus to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because Jeong suggests the desirability of providing a frequency divider for detecting temperature variations thereby securing the safety f data stored in the memory cells and reducing the amount of power consumption at relatively low or normal temperatures (Col. 3 lines 1-4).

Regarding dependent claim 20, Figs. 1 and 4 of Fiscus disclose the memory device further comprising:

at least a second set [112] of reference cells arranged around a second common word line [WLH] and a second common bit line [BLH]; and

wherein the refresh frequency adjust circuit is configured to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal based on a monitored amount of charge loss of both the first and second sets of reference cells (Col. 1 lines 30-47).

Art Unit: 2824

Regarding independent claim 21, Figs. 1, 2 and 4 of Fiscus disclose a circuit for adjusting a frequency of a refresh signal used to refresh dynamic memory cells, comprising:

a first set [110] of reference cells connectable to a first common bit line [BLL] by activation of a first common word line [WLL];

a regulating circuit [104] configured to: monitor an amount of charge loss of the first [110] reference cells (Col. 5, lines 32-39) and set a divider value on the basis of the amount of charge loss (Col. 6 lines 21-41). However, Fiscus fails to disclose an oscillator configured to output a clock signal at a fundamental frequency and a frequency divider circuit configured to divide the clock signal at the fundamental frequency by the divider value, thereby producing the refresh signal at an adjusted frequency.

Fig. 6 of Jeong discloses an oscillator [30] configured to output signal from frequency divider (Col. 5 lines 43-47); and a frequency divider [31 or 32 or 33] circuit configured to divide the clock signal at the fundamental frequency by divider value, thereby producing the refresh signal at an adjusted frequency (Col. 6 line 63 – Col. 7 line 2).

It would have been obvious to incorporate a frequency divider as taught by Jeong into refreshing dynamic memory cells of Fiscus to adjust the frequency of the refresh signal. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because Jeong suggests the desirability of providing a frequency divider for detecting temperature variations thereby securing the safety f data stored in the memory cells and

reducing the amount of power consumption at relatively low or normal temperatures (Col. 3 lines 1-4).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claim 4, the combination as claimed wherein at least the limitation of "increasing the frequency divider if the monitored amount of charge loss falls below a first threshold value and decreasing the frequency divider if the monitored amount of charge loss exceeds a second threshold value" is not disclosed, suggest, or rendered obvious by the prior art of record.

With respect to claim 19, the combination as claimed wherein at least the limitation of "the fundamental frequency of an oscillator circuit by a counter value, the counter value being the frequency divider value" is not disclosed, suggest, or rendered obvious by the prior art of record.

Dependent claim 5 is allowed based on dependent claim 4 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,127 Page 12

Art Unit: 2824

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/11/05 with respect to claims 1 and 3 - 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Prior art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoo et al. Patent No. 5,889,719 Date of Patent: Mar. 30, 1999

Higashiho et al. Patent No. US 6,618,310 Date of Patent: Sep. 9, 2003

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dang Nguyen, who can be reached by telephone at (571) 272-1955. Normal contact times are M-F, 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

Upon an unsuccessful attempt to contact the examiner, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms, may be reached at (571) 272-1869.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The faxed phone number for organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the Status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 2824

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Dang Nguyen 1/6/2006

RICHARD ELMS

Page 13

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800